

# FINE FURS

At Greatly Reduced Prices.

In order to close out a large stock of Fur Capes, Seal Jackets, Robes, Rugs, etc., before inventory, we have marked everything down --Hats, Trunks, Umbrellas, Gloves, etc.

## FRIEND E. BROOKS,

795 CHAPEL STREET,

NEW HAVEN, CONN.

## Holiday Goods in Endless Variety

What more useful and serviceable gift than an article of Furniture? We would suggest that you examine our large line of Ladies' Writing Desks in Oak, Curly Birch, Mahogany and Mahogany Inlaid. Music Cabinets, Curio Tables, Curio Cabinets, Foot Rests, China Closets, Reed and Rattan Chairs in gold, white and gold, and natural finish; Cobbler Seat Rockers, Fancy Floor Rockers, in plush, brocatelle, silk damask, etc.

### Parlor Suites, Easy Chairs, Couches,

Lounges, Divans, Corner Chairs, Hall Racks, Sideboards, Dining Chairs, Bookcases, Oak and Mahogany Parlor Tables, Tea Tables, etc. We especially call your attention to our very large line of Banquet Lamps and Globes; this is a new departure with us and is well worthy of your inspection. Rugs, Mats and Draperies of all kinds. Largest and Leading Housefurnishing Store in the state.

**H. B. ARMSTRONG & CO.,**  
89-97 Orange Street.

### "AUDIT IN TIME."

EXAMINATIONS, INVESTIGATIONS or ADJUSTMENTS accurately made. BOOKKEEPING METHODS devised to meet the requirements of any business.

**F. W. SHILLITO, Accountant and Auditor,**  
ROOM 712, FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILD'G, NEW HAVEN, CONN.

### Jewelers.

### WELLS & GUNDE,

Watchmakers and Jewelers.

Full Line Sterling Silver and Silver Plated Ware.

### KIMBAL'S ANTI-RHEUMATIC RINGS

No. 788 Chapel Street



### LONG WINTER

EVENINGS can be profitably and enjoyably spent in reading, but the pleasure is marred if the eyes soon tire, become blurred, run water, or commence to ache; and any of these symptoms are signs of weakness and should at once be corrected.

CALL AT

**J. H. G. DURANT,**  
Jeweler and Optician, and have your eyes examined by optical graduate.  
55 Church Street,  
Opposite Postoffice.

### Education.

**MISS ADELAIDE MORSE,**  
D. ELIABTE Teacher. Apply at 27 YORK STREET.

**MR. E. A. PARSONS**  
Resumes instruction on

Tuesday, September 10.  
STUDIOS--Benedict Building, New Haven; Abbey Building, New York.

**THE DESSAUER-TROSTWYK**  
School of Music, 781 Chapel St.

VOCAL and Instrumental Instruction, system of European conservatories. Apply between 12 and 1 and 4 and 5 p.m. daily.

**MECHANICAL DRAWING,**  
PERSPECTIVE, MATHEMATICS, MECHANICS, ETC.

F. R. HONEY, 170 Church Street, Hartford office, Balesstein Building. Address letters to New Haven office.

### Miscellaneous.

**THE NEW HAVEN SAVINGS BANK.**  
The semi-annual meeting of the Board of Trustees of the New Haven Savings Bank will be held at the banking house, No. 145 Orange Street, New Haven, on Tuesday, December 31st, 1895, at three o'clock p.m., for the purpose of declaring a semi-annual dividend, appointing auditors, and for doing any other business proper to be done at said meeting.

New Haven, December 23d, 1895.  
HENRY D. WHITE, Clerk.

**Chatfield**  
Paper Co.

298, 300, 302  
State Street.

**PAPER,**  
Books, News, Fine Papers and

**TWINES.**

**COMPRESSED AIR**  
Carpet Cleaning Works.

WILLIAM F. KNAPP & CO.,  
Proprietors.

106 Court St., New Haven, Ct.  
Work done at short notice. mh28 12

## ENGLAND SHARPLY SCORED

SENATOR LODGE DENOUNCES HER ACTION IN VENEZUELA.

Monroe Doctrine Violated--Its Principle as Important as the Balance of Power in Europe.

Washington, Dec. 29.--When the senate met this morning the bond bill passed by the house of representatives on Saturday was lying on the desk of the vice president ready to be referred to the finance committee. The administration has already repudiated the bill, so that even if the senate was disposed to pass it nothing would be accomplished in the way of relieving the treasury or preventing an issue of bonds. Carlisle is continuing his missionary work in the senate, but he can accomplish nothing beyond inducing the administration forces to stand together.

The new finance committee will meet to-morrow. Senator Wolcott of Colorado is still in Europe, so that the free silver majority on the committee will amount to only one. There have been several informal conferences, but it has not been decided whether to take up the bond bill or the revenue bill first.

The air was full of rumors during the morning to the effect that a bond issue would be advertised during the day, but it was reported at noon that it might be delayed for several days. The president is watching the gold reserve. It is predicted that when a financial crisis is imminent he will advertise a bond issue and not before.

Just prior to the meeting of the senate Chairman Dingley of the house ways and means committee had a long and earnest chat with Senator Allison, the working member of the finance committee, in the absence of Senator Aldrich.

There was considerable routine business transacted after the senate met, and a somewhat amusing colloquy took

**SWEET**  
CAPORAL  
CIGARETTES  
THE ONLY GENUINE  
Sweet Caporal Cigarettes  
Beware of Imitations  
Do not be deceived by imitations of name, package or cigarette.  
THE ONLY GENUINE  
Sweet Caporal Cigarettes  
Beware of Imitations  
Do not be deceived by imitations of name, package or cigarette.

place between Messrs. Voorhees, Hoar, Hale, Morgan and others in an attempt of Mr. Voorhees to present a communication from the Argentine Republic that did not come through the state department.

These authorities on matters of official and diplomatic etiquette became involved in quite a tangle, and the great crowd in the galleries thought the controversy had something to do with the Venezuelan complication. Finally, on Mr. Morgan's motion, the whole matter went over until it could be ascertained from the secretary of state or the Argentine minister what it was all about.

During the controversy Senator Henry Cabot Lodge of Massachusetts was apparently very nervous. He had placed a parcel of manuscript and a pile of books and documents on a desk in the center of the republican side of the chamber, preparatory to delivering his advertised speech on the Venezuelan question. His speech was awaited with great interest by the senate and by the crowds in the galleries.

Mr. Chandler, rep. of New Hampshire, introduced a bill to enlarge the interstate commerce commission by making it consist of one member from each state. It was referred to the committee on interstate commerce.

The house bond bill was laid before the senate, read twice and referred to the finance committee.

Allen, populist of Nebraska, started a little financial flurry by offering a resolution calling on the secretary of the treasury for a statement of all notes outstanding, but no one made any objection, and so a controversy was avoided.

Senator Mitchell announced that at the conclusion of Senator Lodge's speech he would ask the senate to proceed to the consideration of the reorganization resolution, which means that the republicans will assume control of the committee, which they are enabled to do, as the democrats and populists have decided to make no opposition.

A large number of republican senators think it very bad policy to take control of the committee when they have not sufficient votes to control matters in the senate, but the young members insisted upon it.

Mr. Lodge, rep. of Massachusetts, according to notice, rose to address the senate upon the Monroe doctrine. He said he had intended not to do so until the joint resolution introduced by him giving to the declaration made by President Monroe in his message of December, 1823, the formal sanction of congress had received the consideration of the committee on foreign relations. But since then the president had sent in his message on the Venezuelan difficulty, and congress, without a dissenting voice, had authorized the commission which the president requested.

This action had led to much wild talk and cries more vocal than numerous from those who say we should never do anything to clash with England. This outcry, coupled with London's attempt to frighten congress by producing a stock panic, had tended to confuse the issue. He, therefore, thought a little cool explanation would not be out of place.

Two cardinal principles, he said, had always governed the United States in their relations with foreign nations--the first was Washington's neutrality doctrine, as laid down in the farewell address. The second was the Monroe doctrine, the history of which he traced in detail.

The only attempt heretofore made by outside powers to break through that doctrine was the joint intervention of England, France and Spain in Mexico, in 1821. A second case has now arisen, and the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine is again threatened as it was by the French in 1823. This second attack upon the principle of the Monroe doctrine comes from Great Britain, and it is made under cover of a boundary dispute with Venezuela.

In order to show the importance of this controversy, which has now reached a certain crisis affecting most gravely the honor, the interests, the rights and the well-settled policy of the United States, he sketched "briefly," as he said, but with elaborate details, the history of the dispute between Great Britain and Venezuela, and of the negotiations between the two countries.

He continued as follows:

"It will be observed from this brief outline of the dispute, that no new rights have come to England or to Venezuela since 1814--that is, since the declaration of President Monroe. They have the rights of Spain and Holland, respectively, nothing more and nothing less, and are entitled to exactly what those inherited rights give them. In 1828 a British minister acknowledged that Point Barina belonged to Venezuela by asking the Venezuelan government to erect a lighthouse there.

"In 1841 a British court in Demerara declared the territory of the Monrovia far to the east of the Orinoco, to be Venezuelan territory. In 1841 an English engineer laid out a perfectly arbitrary line running from the mouth of the Orinoco in a southerly direction until it reached the southern boundary of British Guiana.

"Lord Aberdeen disavowed this line, and proposed another, at the river Morocco and going further into the interior; Lord Granville proposed another, reaching further to the west; Lord Rosebery another, inside the Schomburgk line, but coupled with the free navigation of the Orinoco. In 1895 he proposed a second line, and meantime Lord Salisbury had extended the British claim while he was secretary for foreign affairs.

"Every British minister has offered a different line within which Great Britain would not consent to arbitrate, and every British minister has gone beyond the line which he offered about which he would arbitrate.

"At first sight this seems to denote inconsistency on the part of the British government, but in reality their course has just been the reverse. There is apparently just as much support for one line as another when they pass beyond the valley of the Essequibo. From Schomburgk down, every line was entirely arbitrary and the constantly growing claims beyond the various lines offered was in entire keeping with the policy of the British government. Their object was to get as much new territory as they could if the matter ever came to a settlement, which they have used every artifice to delay."

place between Messrs. Voorhees, Hoar, Hale, Morgan and others in an attempt of Mr. Voorhees to present a communication from the Argentine Republic that did not come through the state department.

These authorities on matters of official and diplomatic etiquette became involved in quite a tangle, and the great crowd in the galleries thought the controversy had something to do with the Venezuelan complication. Finally, on Mr. Morgan's motion, the whole matter went over until it could be ascertained from the secretary of state or the Argentine minister what it was all about.

During the controversy Senator Henry Cabot Lodge of Massachusetts was apparently very nervous. He had placed a parcel of manuscript and a pile of books and documents on a desk in the center of the republican side of the chamber, preparatory to delivering his advertised speech on the Venezuelan question. His speech was awaited with great interest by the senate and by the crowds in the galleries.

Mr. Chandler, rep. of New Hampshire, introduced a bill to enlarge the interstate commerce commission by making it consist of one member from each state. It was referred to the committee on interstate commerce.

The house bond bill was laid before the senate, read twice and referred to the finance committee.

Allen, populist of Nebraska, started a little financial flurry by offering a resolution calling on the secretary of the treasury for a statement of all notes outstanding, but no one made any objection, and so a controversy was avoided.

Senator Mitchell announced that at the conclusion of Senator Lodge's speech he would ask the senate to proceed to the consideration of the reorganization resolution, which means that the republicans will assume control of the committee, which they are enabled to do, as the democrats and populists have decided to make no opposition.

A large number of republican senators think it very bad policy to take control of the committee when they have not sufficient votes to control matters in the senate, but the young members insisted upon it.

Mr. Lodge, rep. of Massachusetts, according to notice, rose to address the senate upon the Monroe doctrine. He said he had intended not to do so until the joint resolution introduced by him giving to the declaration made by President Monroe in his message of December, 1823, the formal sanction of congress had received the consideration of the committee on foreign relations. But since then the president had sent in his message on the Venezuelan difficulty, and congress, without a dissenting voice, had authorized the commission which the president requested.

This action had led to much wild talk and cries more vocal than numerous from those who say we should never do anything to clash with England. This outcry, coupled with London's attempt to frighten congress by producing a stock panic, had tended to confuse the issue. He, therefore, thought a little cool explanation would not be out of place.

Two cardinal principles, he said, had always governed the United States in their relations with foreign nations--the first was Washington's neutrality doctrine, as laid down in the farewell address. The second was the Monroe doctrine, the history of which he traced in detail.

The only attempt heretofore made by outside powers to break through that doctrine was the joint intervention of England, France and Spain in Mexico, in 1821. A second case has now arisen, and the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine is again threatened as it was by the French in 1823. This second attack upon the principle of the Monroe doctrine comes from Great Britain, and it is made under cover of a boundary dispute with Venezuela.

In order to show the importance of this controversy, which has now reached a certain crisis affecting most gravely the honor, the interests, the rights and the well-settled policy of the United States, he sketched "briefly," as he said, but with elaborate details, the history of the dispute between Great Britain and Venezuela, and of the negotiations between the two countries.

He continued as follows:

"It will be observed from this brief outline of the dispute, that no new rights have come to England or to Venezuela since 1814--that is, since the declaration of President Monroe. They have the rights of Spain and Holland, respectively, nothing more and nothing less, and are entitled to exactly what those inherited rights give them. In 1828 a British minister acknowledged that Point Barina belonged to Venezuela by asking the Venezuelan government to erect a lighthouse there.

"In 1841 a British court in Demerara declared the territory of the Monrovia far to the east of the Orinoco, to be Venezuelan territory. In 1841 an English engineer laid out a perfectly arbitrary line running from the mouth of the Orinoco in a southerly direction until it reached the southern boundary of British Guiana.

"Lord Aberdeen disavowed this line, and proposed another, at the river Morocco and going further into the interior; Lord Granville proposed another, reaching further to the west; Lord Rosebery another, inside the Schomburgk line, but coupled with the free navigation of the Orinoco. In 1895 he proposed a second line, and meantime Lord Salisbury had extended the British claim while he was secretary for foreign affairs.

"Every British minister has offered a different line within which Great Britain would not consent to arbitrate, and every British minister has gone beyond the line which he offered about which he would arbitrate.

"At first sight this seems to denote inconsistency on the part of the British government, but in reality their course has just been the reverse. There is apparently just as much support for one line as another when they pass beyond the valley of the Essequibo. From Schomburgk down, every line was entirely arbitrary and the constantly growing claims beyond the various lines offered was in entire keeping with the policy of the British government. Their object was to get as much new territory as they could if the matter ever came to a settlement, which they have used every artifice to delay."

place between Messrs. Voorhees, Hoar, Hale, Morgan and others in an attempt of Mr. Voorhees to present a communication from the Argentine Republic that did not come through the state department.

These authorities on matters of official and diplomatic etiquette became involved in quite a tangle, and the great crowd in the galleries thought the controversy had something to do with the Venezuelan complication. Finally, on Mr. Morgan's motion, the whole matter went over until it could be ascertained from the secretary of state or the Argentine minister what it was all about.

During the controversy Senator Henry Cabot Lodge of Massachusetts was apparently very nervous. He had placed a parcel of manuscript and a pile of books and documents on a desk in the center of the republican side of the chamber, preparatory to delivering his advertised speech on the Venezuelan question. His speech was awaited with great interest by the senate and by the crowds in the galleries.

Mr. Chandler, rep. of New Hampshire, introduced a bill to enlarge the interstate commerce commission by making it consist of one member from each state. It was referred to the committee on interstate commerce.

The house bond bill was laid before the senate, read twice and referred to the finance committee.

Allen, populist of Nebraska, started a little financial flurry by offering a resolution calling on the secretary of the treasury for a statement of all notes outstanding, but no one made any objection, and so a controversy was avoided.

Senator Mitchell announced that at the conclusion of Senator Lodge's speech he would ask the senate to proceed to the consideration of the reorganization resolution, which means that the republicans will assume control of the committee, which they are enabled to do, as the democrats and populists have decided to make no opposition.

A large number of republican senators think it very bad policy to take control of the committee when they have not sufficient votes to control matters in the senate, but the young members insisted upon it.

Mr. Lodge, rep. of Massachusetts, according to notice, rose to address the senate upon the Monroe doctrine. He said he had intended not to do so until the joint resolution introduced by him giving to the declaration made by President Monroe in his message of December, 1823, the formal sanction of congress had received the consideration of the committee on foreign relations. But since then the president had sent in his message on the Venezuelan difficulty, and congress, without a dissenting voice, had authorized the commission which the president requested.

This action had led to much wild talk and cries more vocal than numerous from those who say we should never do anything to clash with England. This outcry, coupled with London's attempt to frighten congress by producing a stock panic, had tended to confuse the issue. He, therefore, thought a little cool explanation would not be out of place.

Two cardinal principles, he said, had always governed the United States in their relations with foreign nations--the first was Washington's neutrality doctrine, as laid down in the farewell address. The second was the Monroe doctrine, the history of which he traced in detail.

place between Messrs. Voorhees, Hoar, Hale, Morgan and others in an attempt of Mr. Voorhees to present a communication from the Argentine Republic that did not come through the state department.

These authorities on matters of official and diplomatic etiquette became involved in quite a tangle, and the great crowd in the galleries thought the controversy had something to do with the Venezuelan complication. Finally, on Mr. Morgan's motion, the whole matter went over until it could be ascertained from the secretary of state or the Argentine minister what it was all about.

During the controversy Senator Henry Cabot Lodge of Massachusetts was apparently very nervous. He had placed a parcel of manuscript and a pile of books and documents on a desk in the center of the republican side of the chamber, preparatory to delivering his advertised speech on the Venezuelan question. His speech was awaited with great interest by the senate and by the crowds in the galleries.

Mr. Chandler, rep. of New Hampshire, introduced a bill to enlarge the interstate commerce commission by making it consist of one member from each state. It was referred to the committee on interstate commerce.

The house bond bill was laid before the senate, read twice and referred to the finance committee.

Allen, populist of Nebraska, started a little financial flurry by offering a resolution calling on the secretary of the treasury for a statement of all notes outstanding, but no one made any objection, and so a controversy was avoided.

Senator Mitchell announced that at the conclusion of Senator Lodge's speech he would ask the senate to proceed to the consideration of the reorganization resolution, which means that the republicans will assume control of the committee, which they are enabled to do, as the democrats and populists have decided to make no opposition.

A large number of republican senators think it very bad policy to take control of the committee when they have not sufficient votes to control matters in the senate, but the young members insisted upon it.

Mr. Lodge, rep. of Massachusetts, according to notice, rose to address the senate upon the Monroe doctrine. He said he had intended not to do so until the joint resolution introduced by him giving to the declaration made by President Monroe in his message of December, 1823, the formal sanction of congress had received the consideration of the committee on foreign relations. But since then the president had sent in his message on the Venezuelan difficulty, and congress, without a dissenting voice, had authorized the commission which the president requested.

This action had led to much wild talk and cries more vocal than numerous from those who say we should never do anything to clash with England. This outcry, coupled with London's attempt to frighten congress by producing a stock panic, had tended to confuse the issue. He, therefore, thought a little cool explanation would not be out of place.

Two cardinal principles, he said, had always governed the United States in their relations with foreign nations--the first was Washington's neutrality doctrine, as laid down in the farewell address. The second was the Monroe doctrine, the history of which he traced in detail.

The only attempt heretofore made by outside powers to break through that doctrine was the joint intervention of England, France and Spain in Mexico, in 1821. A second case has now arisen, and the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine is again threatened as it was by the French in 1823. This second attack upon the principle of the Monroe doctrine comes from Great Britain, and it is made under cover of a boundary dispute with Venezuela.

In order to show the importance of this controversy, which has now reached a certain crisis affecting most gravely the honor, the interests, the rights and the well-settled policy of the United States, he sketched "briefly," as he said, but with elaborate details, the history of the dispute between Great Britain and Venezuela, and of the negotiations between the two countries.

He continued as follows:

"It will be observed from this brief outline of the dispute, that no new rights have come to England or to Venezuela since 1814--that is, since the declaration of President Monroe. They have the rights of Spain and Holland, respectively, nothing more and nothing less, and are entitled to exactly what those inherited rights give them. In 1828 a British minister acknowledged that Point Barina belonged to Venezuela by asking the Venezuelan government to erect a lighthouse there.

"In 1841 a British court in Demerara declared the territory of the Monrovia far to the east of the Orinoco, to be Venezuelan territory. In 1841 an English engineer laid out a perfectly arbitrary line running from the mouth of the Orinoco in a southerly direction until it reached the southern boundary of British Guiana.

"Lord Aberdeen disavowed this line, and proposed another, at the river Morocco and going further into the interior; Lord Granville proposed another, reaching further to the west; Lord Rosebery another, inside the Schomburgk line, but coupled with the free navigation of the Orinoco. In 1895 he proposed a second line, and meantime Lord Salisbury had extended the British claim while he was secretary for foreign affairs.

"Every British minister has offered a different line within which Great Britain would not consent to arbitrate, and every British minister has gone beyond the line which he offered about which he would arbitrate.

"At first sight this seems to denote inconsistency on the part of the British government, but in reality their course has just been the reverse. There is apparently just as much support for one line as another when they pass beyond the valley of the Essequibo. From Schomburgk down, every line was entirely arbitrary and the constantly growing claims beyond the various lines offered was in entire keeping with the policy of the British government. Their object was to get as much new territory as they could if the matter ever came to a settlement, which they have used every artifice to delay."

place between Messrs. Voorhees, Hoar, Hale, Morgan and others in an attempt of Mr. Voorhees to present a communication from the Argentine Republic that did not come through the state department.

These authorities on matters of official and diplomatic etiquette became involved in quite a tangle, and the great crowd in the galleries thought the controversy had something to do with the Venezuelan complication. Finally, on Mr. Morgan's motion, the whole matter went over until it could be ascertained from the secretary of state or the Argentine minister what it was all about.

During the controversy Senator Henry Cabot Lodge of Massachusetts was apparently very nervous. He had placed a parcel of manuscript and a pile of books and documents on a desk in the center of the republican side of the chamber, preparatory to delivering his advertised speech on the Venezuelan question. His speech was awaited with great interest by the senate and by the crowds in the galleries.

Mr. Chandler, rep. of New Hampshire, introduced a bill to enlarge the interstate commerce commission by making it consist of one member from each state. It was referred to the committee on interstate commerce.

The house bond bill was laid before the senate, read twice and referred to the finance committee.

Allen, populist of Nebraska, started a little financial flurry by offering a resolution calling on the secretary of the treasury for a statement of all notes outstanding, but no one made any objection, and so a controversy was avoided.

Senator Mitchell announced that at the conclusion of Senator Lodge's speech he would ask the senate to proceed to the consideration of the reorganization resolution, which means that the republicans will assume control of the committee, which they are enabled to do, as the democrats and populists have decided to make no opposition.

A large number of republican senators think it very bad policy to take control of the committee when they have not sufficient votes to control matters in the senate, but the young members insisted upon it.

Mr. Lodge, rep. of Massachusetts, according to notice, rose to address the senate upon the Monroe doctrine. He said he had intended not to do so until the joint resolution introduced by him giving to the declaration made by President Monroe in his message of December, 1823, the formal sanction of congress had received the consideration of the committee on foreign relations. But since then the president had sent in his message on the Venezuelan difficulty, and congress, without a dissenting voice, had authorized the commission which the president requested.

This action had led to much wild talk and cries more vocal than numerous from those who say we should never do anything to clash with England. This outcry, coupled with London's attempt to frighten congress by producing a stock panic, had tended to confuse the issue. He, therefore, thought a little cool explanation would not be out of place.

Two cardinal principles, he said, had always governed the United States in their relations with foreign nations--the first was Washington's neutrality doctrine, as laid down in the farewell address. The second was the Monroe doctrine, the history of which he traced in detail.

place between Messrs. Voorhees, Hoar, Hale, Morgan and others in an attempt of Mr. Voorhees to present a communication from the Argentine Republic that did not come through the state department.

These authorities on matters of official and diplomatic etiquette became involved in quite a tangle, and the great crowd in the galleries thought the controversy had something to do with the Venezuelan complication. Finally, on Mr. Morgan's motion, the whole matter went over until it could be ascertained from the secretary of state or the Argentine minister what it was all about.

During the controversy Senator Henry Cabot Lodge of Massachusetts was apparently very nervous. He had placed a parcel of manuscript and a pile of books and documents on a desk in the center of the republican side of the chamber, preparatory to delivering his advertised speech on the Venezuelan question. His speech was awaited with great interest by the senate and by the crowds in the galleries.

Mr. Chandler, rep. of New Hampshire, introduced a bill to enlarge the interstate commerce commission by making it consist of one member from each state. It was referred to the committee on interstate commerce.

The house bond bill was laid before the senate, read twice and referred to the finance committee.

Allen, populist of Nebraska, started a little financial flurry by offering a resolution calling on the secretary of the treasury for a statement of all notes outstanding, but no one made any objection, and so a controversy was avoided.

Senator Mitchell announced that at the conclusion of Senator Lodge's speech he would ask the senate to proceed to the consideration of the reorganization resolution, which means that the republicans will assume control of the committee, which they are enabled to do, as the democrats and populists have decided to make no opposition.

A large number of republican senators think it very bad policy to take control of the committee when they have not sufficient votes to control matters in the senate, but the young members insisted upon it.

Mr. Lodge, rep. of Massachusetts, according to notice, rose to address the senate upon the Monroe doctrine. He said he had intended not to do so until the joint resolution introduced by him giving to the declaration made by President Monroe in his message of December, 1823, the formal sanction of congress had received the consideration of the committee on foreign relations. But since then the president had sent in his message on the Venezuelan difficulty, and congress, without a dissenting voice, had authorized the commission which the president requested.

This action had led to much wild talk and cries more vocal than numerous from those who say we should never do anything to clash with England. This outcry, coupled with London's attempt to frighten congress by producing a stock panic, had tended to confuse the issue. He, therefore, thought a little cool explanation would not be out of place.

Two cardinal principles, he said, had always governed the United States in their relations with foreign nations--the first was Washington's neutrality doctrine, as laid down in the farewell address. The second was the Monroe doctrine, the history of which he traced in detail.

The only attempt heretofore made by outside powers to break through that doctrine was the joint intervention of England, France and Spain in Mexico, in 1821. A second case has now arisen, and the maintenance of the Monroe doctrine is again threatened as it was by the French in 1823. This second attack upon the principle of the Monroe doctrine comes from Great Britain, and it is made under cover of a boundary dispute with Venezuela.

In order to show the importance of this controversy, which has now reached a certain crisis affecting most gravely the honor, the interests, the rights and the well-settled policy of the United States, he sketched "briefly," as he said, but with elaborate details, the history of the dispute between Great Britain and Venezuela, and of the negotiations between the two countries.

He continued as follows: